### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Roman J. Giger Art Unit: 1647

Patent No.: 7,541,335 Examiner: Cherie Michelle Woodward

Issue Date: June 2, 2009 Conf. No.: 3920

Serial No.: 10/551,833 Filed: July 20, 2006

Title : NOGO-RECEPTORS AND METHODS OF USE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d)

Patentee hereby requests reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) accorded the above-referenced patent. Reconsideration of the final PTA calculation to increase total PTA from 0 to 196 days, is respectfully requested.

#### REMARKS

# (1) Measuring Overlap of "A Delay" and "B Delay"

"A Delays" are defined as delays by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(A), which guarantees prompt PTO response. "B Delays" are defined as delays by the PTO under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B), which guarantees no more than three year application pendency. To the extent that the periods of delay overlap, the period of any term adjustment shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed. 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A).

# (2) Measuring "B Delay" for a National Stage Filing under 35 U.S.C. § 371

In addition to and independent of the "overlap" issue addressed above, Patentee respectfully submits that the Office did not apply the proper standard for determining the period of "B Delay" under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B). It is Patentee's understanding that for purposes of calculating "B Delay," the Office measured application pendency as beginning on July 20, 2006, the date on which the application fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 371. However, as detailed below, the relevant statutes and regulations require that when calculating "B Delay" for a national stage filing under 35 U.S.C. § 371, application pendency must be measured from the date that is 30 months from the priority date of the international application (i.e., <u>not</u> from the date on which the application fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 371).

Applicant : Roman J. Giger
Patent No. : 7,541,335
Issued : June 2, 2009
Serial No. : 10/551,833
Filed : July 20, 2006
Page : 2 of 7

The term of a patent shall, under certain circumstances, be extended if the Office fails to issue a patent within three years after the "actual filing date" of the application.

(B) GUARANTEE OF NO MORE THAN 3-YEAR APPLICATION PENDENCY. Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States ... the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after the end of that 3-year period until the patent is issued.

35 U.S.C. & 154(b)(1)(B). (emphasis added)

37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b) explains the meaning of the term "actual filing date" as used in 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B). As detailed below, PTO delay for a national stage application begins if the Office fails to issue a patent within three years after the date the national stage "commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f)."

- (b) Failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, but not including... 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b). (emphasis added)
- 35 U.S.C. §§ 371(b) and (f) refer to the time when a national stage application "commences."
  - (b) Subject to subsection (f) of this section, the national stage shall <u>commence</u> with the expiration of the applicable time limit under article 22 (1) or (2), or under article 39 (1)(a) of the treaty. 35 U.S.C. § 371(b). (emphasis added)
  - (f) At the express request of the applicant, the national stage of processing may be commenced at any time at which the application is in order for such purpose and the applicable requirements of subsection (c) of this section have been complied with. 35 U.S.C. § 371(f).
- 35 U.S.C. § 371(f) relates to the situation where an applicant files an express request for early processing of an international application. In the absence of filing such a request, the U.S. national stage commences under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 371(b), i.e., with the expiration of

<sup>1</sup> Consistent with 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b), MPEP § 2730 states that "[i]n the case of an international application, the phrase 'actual filing date of the application in the United States' [as used in 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B)] means the date the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f)."

Applicant : Roman J. Giger
Patent No. : 7,541,335
Issued : June 2, 2009
Serial No. : 10/551,833
Filed : July 20, 2006
Page : 3 of 7

the applicable time limit under article 22(1) or (2), or under article 39(1)(a) of the treaty. The term "the treaty" refers to "the Patent Cooperation Treaty done at Washington, on June 19, 1970." See 35 U.S.C. § 351(a).

The articles of the Patent Cooperation Treaty cited in 35 U.S.C. § 371(b) are reproduced below

#### Article 22

### Copy, Translation, and Fee, to Designated Offices

- (1) The applicant shall furnish a copy of the international application (unless the communication provided for in Article 20 has already taken place) and a translation thereof (as prescribed), and pay the national fee (if any), to each designated Office not later than at the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Where the national law of the designated State requires the indication of the name of and other prescribed data concerning the inventor but allows that these indications be furnished at a time later than that of the filing of a national application, the applicant shall, unless they were contained in the request, furnish the said indications to the national Office of or acting for the State not later than at the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. (emphasis added)
- (2) Where the International Searching Authority makes a declaration, under Article 17(2)(a), that no international search report will be established, the time limit for performing the acts referred to in paragraph (1) of this Article shall be the same as that provided for in paragraph (1).

n J. Giger Attorney's Docket No.: 20724-0011US1 / UR 1206

Applicant: Roman J. Giger Patent No.: 7,541,335 Issued: June 2, 2009 Serial No.: 10/551,833 Filed: July 20, 2006 Page: 4 of 7

## Article 39

# Copy. Translation, and Fee, to Elected Offices

(1) (a) If the election of any Contracting State has been effected prior to the expiration of the 19th month from the priority date, the provisions of Article 22 shall not apply to such State and the applicant shall furnish a copy of the international application (unless the communication under Article 20 has already taken place) and a translation thereof (as prescribed), and pay the national fee (if any), to each elected Office not later than at the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. (emphasis added)

"The applicable time limit" referred to in Patent Cooperation Treaty articles 22(1), 22(2), and 39(1)(a) is "the expiration of 30 months from the priority date." As a result, "the expiration of 30 months from the priority date" is the time at which the U.S. national stage commences under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 371(b). This same conclusion as to the timing for commencement of the U.S. national stage is also summarized in MPEP § 1893.01.

Subject to 35 U.S.C. 371(f), commencement of the national stage occurs upon expiration of the applicable time limit under PCT Article 22(1) or (2), or under PCT Article 39(1)(a). See 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and 37 CFR 1.491(a). PCT Articles 22(1), 22(2), and 39(1)(a) provide for a time limit of not later than the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Thus, in the absence of an express request for early processing of an international application under 35 U.S.C. 371(f) and compliance with the conditions provided therein, the U.S. national stage will commence upon expiration of 30 months from the priority date of the international application. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(f), the national stage may commence earlier than 30 months from the priority date, provided applicant makes an express request for early processing and has complied with the applicable requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371(c). MPEP § 1893.01. (emphasis added)

In view of the foregoing, the "actual filing date" of a U.S. national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. § 371, for purposes of calculating "B Delay" under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.702(b), is the date that is 30 months from the priority date of the international application.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> In contrast to reliance on "the expiration of 30 months from the priority date" for measuring "B Delay," the beginning of the relevant period for purposes of calculating "A Delay" is the date on which an international application fulfills the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 371. See 35 U.S.C. § 134(b)(1)(A)(0)(II) and

37 C.F.R. § 1.702(a)(1).

Applicant : Roman J. Giger Patent No. : 7,541,335 Issued : June 2, 2009 Serial No. : 10/551,833 Filed : July 20, 2006 Page : 5 of 7

### REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

## "A Delay"

There were no circumstances constituting a delay by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(A).

#### "B Delay"

The present application is a national stage filing under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of international application number PCT/US2004/01, filed April 2, 2004, which claims the benefit of priority of United States provisional application number 60/460.849, filed April 4, 2003.

The national stage for the present application "commenced" under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 371(b), i.e., upon expiration of 30 months from the priority date of the international application.<sup>3</sup> As a result, the date that the national stage commenced was October 4, 2005 (i.e., 30 months from the priority date of April 4, 2003).

The period beginning on October 5, 2008 (the day after the date that is three years after October 4, 2005, the date that the national stage commenced), and ending June 2, 2009 (the date the patent was issued), is 241 days in length.

"B Delay" may not include the number of days in the period beginning on the date on which a Request for Continued Examination was filed and ending on the date the patent was issued. In the present application, no Request for Continued Examination was filed.

See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.702(b)(1) and 1.703(b)(1).

In addition, "B Delay" may not include the number of days in the period beginning on the date on which a Notice of Appeal was filed and ending on the date of mailing of a Notice of Allowance. In the present application, no Notice of Appeal was filed.

See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.702(b)(4) and 1.703(b)(4).

In view of the periods of "B Delay" detailed above, the total "B Delay" for this patent should be calculated as 241 days. The PTO calculated 0 days of delay for issuance of a patent more than three years after filing. Patentee respectfully submits that the PTO's calculation of

<sup>3</sup> A complete request for early processing under 35 U.S.C. § 371(f) was not filed with the present application.

Applicant : Roman J. Giger Patent No. : 7,541,335 Issued : June 2, 2009 Serial No. : 10/551,833 Filed : July 20, 2006 Page : 6 of 7

this "B Delay" is incorrect and that the correct PTO Delay for issuance beyond three years from filing is 241 days. See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.702(b) and 1.703(b).

### Overlap of "A Delay" and "B Delay"

As detailed above, no "A Delay" accumulated.

As detailed above, "B Delay" accumulated during the following period:

October 5, 2008, to June 2, 2009.

As such, the periods of "A Delay" and "B Delay" do not overlap (i.e., occur on the same calendar day).

### Applicant Delay

A reply to an Office Action was due on or before March 31, 2008 (the date that is three months after December 31, 2007, the date on which the Office Action was mailed). Patentee filed a response to the Office Action on April 28, 2008, thereby according an Applicant Delay of 28 days. Patentee does not dispute the PTO's calculation for this Applicant Delay from April 1, 2008 (the day after the date that is three months after the date on which the Office Action was mailed), to April 28, 2008. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b).

A reply to an Office Action was due on or before February 21, 2009 (the date that is three months after November 21, 2008, the date on which the Office Action was mailed). Patentee filed a response to the Office Action on February 23, 2009, thereby according an Applicant Delay of <u>2 days</u>. Patentee does not dispute the PTO's calculation for this Applicant Delay from February 22, 2009 (the day after the date that is three months after the date on which the Office Action was mailed), to February 23, 2009. See 37 C.F.R. § 1,704(b).

Patentee filed an Amendment Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 on February 23, 2009, subsequent to the mailing of a Notice of Allowance on November 21, 2008. The PTO responded to the Amendment Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 on March 9, 2009. Patentee was accorded a delay of 15 days for a supplemental response. Patentee does not dispute the PTO's calculation for this Applicant Delay from February 24, 2009, to March 9, 2009. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(8).

In view of the periods of Applicant Delay detailed above, the total Applicant Delay for this patent should be calculated as 45 days (i.e., the sum of 28 days, 2 days, and 15 days).

Applicant : Roman J. Giger Patent No. : 7,541,335 Issued : June 2, 2009 Serial No. : 10/551,833 Filed : July 20, 2006 Page : 7 of 7

## Terminal Disclaimer

This patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

### Conclusion

In consideration of the events described above, Patentee believes the PTA calculation of 0 days is incorrect. As such, Patentee respectfully requests reconsideration of the PTA in the following manner:

- 1) Total PTO Delay should be calculated as 241 days (i.e., the sum of 0 days of "A Delay" and 241 days of "B Delay");
- Total Applicant Delay should be calculated as 45 days (i.e., the sum of 28 days, 2 days, and 15 days); and
  - 3) Total PTA should be calculated as 196 days.

The fee of \$200 required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) is being paid concurrently herewith on the Electronic Filing System (EFS) by way of Deposit Account authorization. Please apply any other charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 21 2009

Tina Williams McKeon Reg. No. 43,791

Fish & Richardson P.C. PTO Customer No. 26167 Telephone: (404) 892-5005 Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

60582182.doc